Article preview

- Abstract
- Introduction
- Section snippets
- References (46)
- Cited by (4)

Theriogenology

Volume 171, 1 September 2021, Pages 64-71

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs at embryo transfer on pregnancy rates in cows: A meta-analysis

Author links open overlay panel

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2021.04.010Get rights and content

Highlights

• •

Present work highlights effect of NSAID at ET on pregnancy rates in cows.

•

NSAID treatment at ET was associated with a positive effect on pregnancy rates compared with no treatment.

•

NSAID at ET was relevant in cows with difficulty in passing the catheter from the <u>cervix</u> during ET.

Abstract

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) at the time of <u>embryo transfer</u> (ET) are commonly used to improve <u>pregnancy rates</u> in cows. A meta-analysis was conducted on 16 trials from 9 publications involving control (n = 2335) and NSAID-treated (n = 2849) cows.

The meta-analysis explained the <u>relative risk</u> (RR) with its 95% confidence interval (CI) for pregnancy per <u>embryo transfer</u> (P/ET) after NSAID treatment under various circumstances. NSAID treatment with was associated on average with a 15% higher P/ET compared to no treatment (RR = 1.15, 95% CI = 1.07 to 1.2). The results also highlight that the use of NSAIDs at the time of ET was particularly effective in cows with difficulty in passing the catheter from the <u>cervix</u> during ET, with 71% more likely P/ET (RR = 1.71, 95% CI = 1.07 to 2.74) with the use of NSAIDs for these cows compared to other cows. The data were too limited to analyse the influence of NSAID molecules (flunixin <u>meglumine</u> [FM] and meloxicam), <u>cyclooxygenase</u> (COX) inhibitor type (non-selective COX inhibitor [both COX-1 and COX-2] and selective COX inhibitor [only COX-2]), embryo processing (embryo production, embryo conservation and embryo quality), stress, synchronization, breed and parity on the relationship between NSAIDs and P/ET.

Introduction

The most important bovine reproductive biotechnologies widely used to enhance genetic improvement in the cattle industry are artificial insemination (AI) and embryo transfer (ET). Artificial insemination allows genetically superior bulls to produce large numbers of progeny in a short interval. Embryo transfer enables genetically superior cows to likewise produce a large number of progeny in a short interval through transfer of their embryos into recipient females [1]. Embryonic mortality is recognized as a major issue in the dairy industry, as it decreases reproductive performance [2]. Embryonic loss, in part, is related to untimely secretion of prostaglandin (PG) F2 α and premature luteolysis [3]. Several factors, such as transportation [4], heat stress [5,6], handling stress [7] and nutritional stress [8] promote PGF2 α release and embryonic loss.

Embryo transfer requires stressful uterine manipulation for the recipient. Prostaglandins are increased during uterine manipulation and irritation, normally encountered with AI and ET techniques [9]. Excessive stimulation of the uterus by a high concentration of PGF2 α during ET may contribute to early embryonic loss and upsets of implantation [10]. Bovine embryos are influenced by a high concentration of $PGF2\alpha$ in the uterine lumen which could negatively affect embryo viability and pregnancy rates [9,[11], [12], [13], [14], [15]]. Excessive manipulation during a difficult ET causes stress and can also cause inflammation in the recipient's genital tract. In addition to stress, prostanoid biosynthesis, including PGF2a, is significantly increased in inflamed tissue [16]. Prostaglandins are fatty acids derived from the metabolism of arachidonic acid by cyclooxygenase (COX), generating PGH2 and five other primary prostanoids: PGD2, PGE2, PGF2a, PGI2, and thromboxane A2 [17]. Cyclooxygenase, an evolutionarily conserved [18] bisfunctional enzyme, exists as two distinct isoforms, COX-1 or COX-2 [16]. COX-1 is a constitutively expressed enzyme responsible for maintaining protective and reparative mechanisms. Nevertheless, the adverse effects of NSAIDs that are not selective for COX type inhibition such as flunixin meglumine (FM) inhibit both COX-1 and COX-2, which likely accounts for most of the undesirable sideeffects of NSAIDs such as gastrointestinal irritation, renal toxicity, and inhibition of blood clotting [19]. NSAIDs are designed to more specifically target the COX-2 isoform to avoid undesirable gastrointestinal side effects [20]. They inhibit uterine PGF2a release by COX inhibition. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs act by competitively inhibition of the COX enzyme either non-selectively through both the COX-1 and COX-2 isoforms i.e., FM and ibuprofen or specifically through the COX-2 isoform i.e., meloxicam and carprofen [21]. Several investigations suggest that COX inhibitors such as ibuprofen [22], carprofen [23],

meloxicam [24,25] and FM [[26], [27], [28], [29], [30]] administered at the time of ET may enhance pregnancy per embryo transfer (P/ET).

The objective of this study was to analyse the association of NSAID treatments administered at ET with P/ET in recipient cows and to focus on factors that influence this relationship.

Section snippets

Literature search

Publications comparing NSAID-treated cows during ET to non-treated control cows were selected from the English-language literature through December 2020. The literature search was conducted in Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com

), PubMed (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) and Science Direct (http://www.sciencedirect.com

). The key words that were used separately or in various combinations for the search were cow, cattle, heifer, NSAID, embryo transfer, pregnancy, and rate. All of the

Results

The Begg and Mazumdar test (P = 0.11) indicated symmetry of the funnel plot. The radial and funnel plots did not suggest any publication bias (Fig. 2, Fig. 3). The treatment of recipients with NSAIDs at ET was associated with higher P/ET in 7 trials but no significant associations were observed in 9 trials. The estimated pooled effect size obtained with the random effect with no moderator (RR = 1.15, P < 0.0001) reported that the treatment was associated with 15% higher chances of P/ET compared

Discussion

The meta-analysis was conducted according to standard recommendations [31,33]. No publication bias was observed. Only the moderator cervix grade was significantly associated with the change in P/ET in cases of NSAIDs. For all other moderators, i.e., molecule, route of FM administration, COX inhibitor type, embryo conservation, quality and production, stress, recipient synchronization, breed and parity, no significant difference was observed in the association between using NSAIDs and P/ET for

Conclusion

Based on the information provided by the available studies, the treatment of recipients with NSAIDs at ET was an effective method to improve P/ET. The treatment was associated on average with 15% more likely P/ET compared with no treatment. The results also highlighted that the use of NSAIDs at ET is particularly relevant in recipients expected to have a grade II (difficult) cervix (cows with difficulty passing the catheter from the cervix during ET). To cope with limited data, larger-scale

References (46)

• M. Binelli *et al*.

Antiluteolytic strategies to improve fertility in cattle

Theriogenology

(2001)

• J.A. Spencer *et al*.

Influences of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on dairy cattle reproductive performance

Applied Animal Science

(2020)

• R.C. Chebel *et al.*

Factors affecting conception rate after artificial insemination and pregnancy loss in lactating dairy cows

Anim Reprod Sci

(2004)

• R.W. Bender *et al*.

Effects of acute feed restriction combined with targeted use of increasing luteinizing hormone content of follicle-stimulating hormone preparations on ovarian superstimulation, fertilization, and embryo quality in lactating dairy cows

J Dairy Sci

(2014)

• M. Hockett *et al*.

Alterations in embryo development in progestogen-supplemented cows administered prostaglandin $F2\alpha$

Prostag Other Lipid Mediat

(2004)

• R. Seals *et al*.

Effects of elevated concentrations of prostaglandin F2 α on pregnancy rates in progestogen supplemented cattle

Prostag Other Lipid Mediat

(1998)

• J. Lemaster *et al*.

Effects of administration of oxytocin on embryonic survival in progestogen supplemented cattle

Prostag Other Lipid Mediat

(1999)

• E.M. Smyth *et al*.

Prostanoids in health and disease

JLR (J Lipid Res)

(2009)

• A.C.A. Santos *et al.*

Prostaglandin F2 α in vitro can affect basic inflammatory parameters of mesenchymal stem cells and slight modulating some of their immunomodulatory properties

Prostagl Leukot Essent Fat Acids

(2020)

• A. Jerome *et al*.

Prostaglandins vis-à-vis bovine embryonic mortality: a review

Asian Pacific Journal of Reproduction

(2012)

Cited by (4)

• Effect of strategies to increase progesterone levels on fertility of bovine embryo transfer recipients - A meta-analysis

2024, Theriogenology

• • Seasonal distribution of repeat breeder cows and evaluation of modified protocols for post AI treatment during summer

• 2023, Tropical Animal Health and Production

• Historical Background and Significance of Embryo Transfer Technology in Cattle with its Relevant Applications

• 2022, Pakistan Journal of Zoology

• A critical overview on prostaglandin inhibitors and their influence on pregnancy results after insemination and embryo transfer in cows

• 2021, Animals

View full text © 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

• Applied use of interferon-tau stimulated genes expression in polymorphonuclear cells to detect pregnancy compared to other early predictors in beef cattle

Theriogenology, Volume 152, 2020, pp. 94-105

Gabriela Dalmaso de Melo, ..., Guilherme Pugliesi

• Pregnancy loss in beef cattle: A meta-analysis

Animal Reproduction Science, Volume 212, 2020, Article 106251

S.T. Reese, ..., K.G. Pohler

• Postovulatory treatment with GnRH on day 5 reduces pregnancy loss in recipients receiving an *in vitro* produced expanded blastocyst

Theriogenology, Volume 141, 2020, pp. 202-210

Alvaro García-Guerra, ..., Milo C. Wiltbank

Citations

• Citation Indexes: 4

Captures

• Readers: 31

View details

- About ScienceDirect
- Remote access
- Shopping cart
- Advertise
- Contact and support
- Terms and conditions
- Privacy policyCookies are used by this site. All content on this site: Copyright © 2024

Elsevier B.V., its licensors, and contributors. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies. For all open access co