See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/244613001

Diglycinium sulfate

Article *in* Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online · December 2002 DOI: 10.1107/51600556802019785

CITATIONS 11	5	reads 30	
4 autho	rs:		
\bigcirc	Aouatef Cherouana	3	Nourredine Benali-Cherif
2	University of Constantine 1		Académie des Sciences et Technologies d'Algérie
	57 PUBLICATIONS 159 CITATIONS		160 PUBLICATIONS 489 CITATIONS
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE
\bigcirc	Lamia Bendjeddou	198	Hocine Merazig
22	University of Constantine 1		Unité de Recherche de chimie de l'environnement et Moléculaire Structurale, Unive
	75 PUBLICATIONS 164 CITATIONS		339 PUBLICATIONS 359 CITATIONS
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project More general catalysts for Chan-Evans-Lam couplings View project

Project Fluorescent compounds View project

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Aouatef Cherouana, Nourredine Benali-Cherif,* Lamia Bendjeddou and Hocine Merazig

Laboratoire de Chimie Moléculaire, du Contrôle de l'Environnement et des Mesures Physico-Chimiques, Faculté des Sciences, Département de Chimie, Université Mentouri de Constantine, 25000 Constantine, Algeria

Correspondence e-mail: benalicherif@hotmail.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 KMean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.002 \text{ Å}$ Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.034 wR factor = 0.097 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.2

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2002 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved The crystal structure of diglycinium sulfate (DGS), $2C_2H_6$ - $NO_2^+ \cdot SO_4^{2-}$, consists of two layers of glycinium and sulfate groups inserted between a layer of glycinium cations linked by strong hydrogen bonds. The two glycinium cations have different conformations, *viz*. *E* for glycine A and *Z* for glycine B.

Received 17 October 2002 Accepted 29 October 2002 Online 15 November 2002

Comment

Diglycinium sulfate

Many glycine salts and adducts exhibit interesting dielectric properties, the best known compound of this family being TGS (triglycine sulfate), which orders ferroelectrically below 322 K (Matthias *et al.*, 1956). In addition to their importance in the field of new materials chemistry (Siegel *et al.*, 1998; Baker *et al.*, 1992), hybrid compounds are of interest because of their electrical, magnetic and optical properties (Kagan *et al.*, 1999; Hill, 1998). The title compound, diglycinium sulfate (DGS), results from a search for new organic–inorganic hybrid materials (Benali-Cherif, Cherouana *et al.*, 2002; Benali-Cherif, Abouimrane *et al.*, 2002; Benali-Cherif, Bendheif *et al.*, 2002; Benali-Cherif, Benguedouar *et al.*, 2002.). The asymmetric unit of DGS contains two monoprotonated glycine molecules ($C_2H_7NO_2^+$) and one sulfate ion (SO_4^{2-}).



The mean bond lengths and angles in the SO_4^{2-} group are 1.472 Å and 109.5°, respectively, showing a normal tetrahedral geometry for the S atom. Interatomic distances in the glycinium cations compare well with distances observed in diglycine sulfate monohydrate (Cano & Martinez-Carrera, 1974). Although their carboxy skeletons are both planar, atom N1B is displaced from the plane by 0.170 (15) Å, whereas atom N1A is displaced by 0.075 (15) Å. The relevant torsion angles of the glycinium cations [O1A-C2A-C1A-N1A = $176.71 (15)^{\circ}$ and N1B-C2B-C1B-O1B = 23.9 (7)°] indicate different conformations for the two glycinium cations in the asymmetric unit, viz. E for glycine A and Z for glycine B; this difference in conformation is not observed in diglycine selenate (Olejnik & Lukaszewicz, 1975). The crystal structure is composed of two layers of glycine B ions and sulfate groups inserted between layers of glycine A along their stacking direction (b axis). The layers are linked together by an intricate network of hydrogen-bond interactions. The strongest of



ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) view of the title compound swith the atomic labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Only one disorder component is shown.



Figure 2

PLUTON (Spek, 1990) view of the title compound, showing the immediate hydrogen-bonding environment of the anion and cations.

these bonds involve atoms O2A and O2B of the glycinium cations (A and B) as donors and O atoms of sulfate anions as acceptors; details of hydrogen bonds are given in Table 1. Atom N1B forms three hydrogen bonds with sulfate anions. Weak hydrogen bonds are observed in the glycine A layers, between N and O atoms, and between C and O atoms.

Experimental

Colorless single crystals of DGS were obtained by slow evaporation, at room temperature, of an equimolar solution of glycine and sulfuric acid.

Crystal data

1997 independent reflections

1844 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$2C_{2}H_{6}NO_{2}^{+}\cdot SO_{4}^{2-}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 248.23$	Cell parameters from 12129
Orthorhombic, Pbca	reflections
a = 8.9350 (4) Å	$\theta = 3.6-26.4^{\circ}$
b = 10.2770 (3) Å	$\mu = 0.35 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 21.7640(3) Å	T = 293 (2) K
$V = 1998.48 (11) \text{ Å}^3$	Prism, colorless
Z = 8	$0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$
$D_x = 1.65 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
Data collection	
Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.092$
φ scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.4^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: none	$h = -11 \rightarrow 11$
12129 measured reflections	$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$

 $l = -27 \rightarrow 25$

F k

и S

1

1 ŀ

efinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0331P)^2]$
$[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	+ 0.3591P]
$PR(F^2) = 0.098$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
= 1.10	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
997 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.25 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
64 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.38 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
I atoms treated by a mixture of	
independent and constrained	
refinement	

Table 1

Hydrogen-bondir	ng geometry	(A,	°)	•
-----------------	-------------	-----	----	---

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$O1A - H1A \cdots O1^{i}$	0.82	1.77	2.567 (2)	164
$O1B - H1B \cdots O4^{ii}$	0.88 (3)	1.70 (3)	2.573 (2)	172 (3)
$N1A - H4A \cdots O2^{iii}$	0.89	1.92	2.762 (2)	156
$N1B - H4B \cdot \cdot \cdot O2^{iv}$	0.89	1.96	2.838 (2)	170
$N1A - H5A \cdots O2A^{v}$	0.89	2.13	2.958 (2)	154
$N1B - H5B \cdots O3^{vi}$	0.89	1.96	2.851 (2)	175
$N1A - H6A \cdots O21B^{v}$	0.89	2.48	3.018 (5)	119
$N1A - H6A \cdots O22B^{v}$	0.89	2.56	3.177 (6)	127
$N1A - H6A \cdots O4^{vii}$	0.89	2.03	2.805 (2)	145
$N1B - H6B \cdots O3$	0.89	1.93	2.813 (2)	170
$C2A - H3A \cdots O2A^{viii}$	0.97	2.51	3.264 (2)	134
Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2}$ –	$-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, z;$ (ii) $-\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}$, z; (iii) -x, 1 -	-y, 1-z; (iv)

 $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - z;$ (v) -x, -y, 1 - z; (vi) $\frac{1}{2} + x, y, \frac{3}{2} - z;$ (vii) $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 1 - z;$ (viii) $-x, \frac{1}{2} + y, z.$

In the initial refinement of the title compound, atom O2B showed high anisotropy of apparent thermal motion normal to the carboxyl plane. The final refinement was carried out with a model in which atoms O2B and C1B each have two alternative sites with equal occupancy, to simulate a disorder that occurs by a twist of the C1B-O2B arm. All H atoms (except H1B, which was refined isotropically) were then fixed at geometrically determined positions. Riding isotropic displacement parameters were used for all H atoms.

Data collection: KappaCCD Reference Manual (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: DENZO and SCALEPACK (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: DENZO and SCALEPACK; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) and PLUTON (Spek, 1990); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

This work was supported by Mentouri-Constantine University, Algeria. We thank Dr M. Pierrot and Dr M. Giorgi from LBS-UMR 6517, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques de Saint Jérôme, Avenue Escadrille Normandie Niemen, 13397 Marseille Cedex 20, France, for the use of their diffraction facilities.

References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343-350.
- Baker, L. J., Bowmaker, G. A., Healy, P. C., Skelton, B. W., & White, A. H. (1992). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 989-998.
- Benali-Cherif, N., Abouimrane, A., Sbai, K., Merazig, H., Cherouana, A. & Bendjeddou, L. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, 0160-0161.
- Benali-Cherif, N., Bendheif, L., Merazig, H., Cherouana, A. & Bendjeddou, L. (2002). Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 178, 411-421.

Benali-Cherif, N., Benguedouar, L., Cherouana, A., Bendjeddou, L. & Merazig, H. (2002). Acta Cryst E58, 0822-0824.

- Benali-Cherif, N., Cherouana, A., Bendjeddou, L., Merazig, H., Bendheif, L. & Bouchouit, K. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, o156–o157.
- Cano, H. F. & Martinez-Carrera, S. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 2729-2732.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Hill, C. L. (1998). Chem. Rev. 98, 1-2.
- Kagan, C. R., Mitzi, D. B. & Dimitrakopoulos, C. D. (1999). Science, 286, 945– 947.
- Matthias, B. T., Miller, C. E. & Remeika, J. P. (1956). Phys. Rev. 104, 849-850.
- Nonius (1998). KappaCCD Reference Manual. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Olejnik, S. & Lukaszewicz, K. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 1785-1787.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr and R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siegel, R. K. O., Freisinger, E., Metzger, S. & Lippert, B. (1998). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120, 12000–12007.
- Spek, A. L. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, C-34.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2002). E58, o1351-o1353 [doi:10.1107/S1600536802019785]

Diglycinium sulfate

Aouatef Cherouana, Nourredine Benali-Cherif, Lamia Bendjeddou and Hocine Merazig

S1. Comment

Many glycine salts and adducts exhibit interesting dielectric properties, the most well known compound of this family being TGS (triglycine sulfate), which orders ferroelectrically below 322 K (Matthias et al., 1956). In addition of their main interest in the field of new materials chemistry (Siegel et al., 1998; Baker et al., 1992), hybrid compounds are of interest because of their electrical, magnetic and optical properties (Kagan et al., 1999; Hill, 1998). The present compound is a result from a search of new organic-inorganic hybrid materials (Benali-Cherif, Cherouana et al., 2002; Benali-Cherif, Abouimrane et al., 2002; Benali-Cherif, Bendheif et al., 2002; Benali-Cherif, Benguedouar et al., 2002.). The asymmetric unit of DGS contains two monoprotaned glycine molecules $(C_2H_7NO_2^+)$ and one anionic sulfate molecule (SO₄²⁻). The mean bonds and angles in the SO₄²⁻ group are 1.472 Å and 109.465°, respectively, showing a normal tetrahedral geometry for the S atom. Interatomic distances in the glycine cations compare well with distances observed in diglycine sulfate monohydrate (Cano et al., 1974). Although their carboxy skeletons are both planar, atom N1B is displaced from this plane by -0.170 (15) Å, whereas atom N1A is displaced by 0.075 (15) Å. The relevant torsion angles of the glycinium cations $[O1A-C2A-C1A-N1A = 176.71 (15)^{\circ} \text{ and } N1B-C2B-C1B-O1B = 23.9 (7)^{\circ}]$ indicate different conformations for the two glycinium cations in the asymmetric unit, viz. E for glycine A and Z for glycine B; this difference in conformation is not observed in diglycine selenate (Olejnik et al., 1975). The crystal structure is built of two layers of glycine B ions and sulfate groups inserted between layers of glycine A along b axis. The layers are linked together by an intricate network of hydrogen-bond interactions. The strongest of these bonds involves atoms O2A and O2B of the glycinium cations (A and B) as donors and O atoms of sulfate anions as acceptors [O1A- $H1A\cdots O1 = 2.567$ (2) Å and O1B— $H1B\cdots O4 = 2.573$ (2) Å]. Atom N1B forms three mean hydrogen bonds with sulfate anion [N1B—H4B···O2 = 2.838 (2) Å, N1B—H5B···O3 = 2.851 (2) Å and N1B—H6B···O3 = 2.813 (2) Å]. Weak hydrogen bonds are observed in the glycine A layers, between N and O atoms [N1A—H5A···O2A = 2.958 (2) Å] and between C and O atoms $[C2A-H3A\cdots O2A = 3.264 (2) Å]$.

S2. Experimental

Colorless single crystals of DGS, were obtained by slow evaporation at room temperature of an equimolar solution of glycine and sulfuric acid.

S3. Refinement

In the initial refinement of the title compound, atom 2B showed high anisotropy of apparent thermal motion normal to the carboxyl plane. Final refinement was carried out with a model in which atoms O2B and C1B had a site-occupation factor of 0.5 (C1B/C11B and O2B/O21B), to simulate a dynamic disorder that occurs by a twist of the C1B—O2B arm. All H atoms were then fixed at localized positions. Riding isotropic displacement parameters were used for all H atoms.



Figure 1

ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) view of the title compound with the atomic labelling scheme. Displacement are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2

PLUTON (Spek, 1990) view of the title compound, showing the immediate hydrogen-bonded surrounding of the anion and cations.

(DGS)

Crystal data	
$2C_{2}H_{6}NO_{2}^{+}\cdot SO_{4}^{2-}$	$V = 1998.48 (11) Å^3$
$M_r = 248.23$	Z = 8
Orthorhombic, Pbca	F(000) = 1040
Hall symbol: -P 2ac 2ab	$D_{\rm x} = 1.65 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
a = 8.9350 (4) Å	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
b = 10.2770 (3) Å	Cell parameters from 12129 reflections
c = 21.7640(3) Å	$\theta = 3.6 - 26.4^{\circ}$

$\mu = 0.35 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	Prism, colorless
T = 293 K	$0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Nonius KappaCCD	1844 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.092$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.4^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 3.2^\circ$
Graphite monochromator	$h = -11 \rightarrow 11$
φ scans	$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$
12129 measured reflections	$l = -27 \rightarrow 25$
1997 independent reflections	
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map
$wR(F^2) = 0.098$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
S = 1.10	and constrained refinement
1997 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0331P)^2 + 0.3591P]$
164 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
24 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta ho_{ m max} = 0.25 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.38 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
S	-0.10690 (4)	0.55788 (4)	0.663471 (17)	0.02355 (15)	
O2A	0.21628 (14)	-0.03292 (11)	0.51481 (6)	0.0326 (3)	
O1	-0.00956 (16)	0.48644 (12)	0.62094 (6)	0.0415 (3)	
O3	-0.08780 (14)	0.50816 (11)	0.72625 (6)	0.0333 (3)	
O1A	0.38658 (14)	0.12148 (13)	0.53549 (7)	0.0409 (3)	
H1A	0.4201	0.0666	0.5591	0.061*	
O2	-0.07143 (15)	0.69813 (12)	0.66235 (6)	0.0357 (3)	
O4	-0.26467 (14)	0.53943 (13)	0.64542 (7)	0.0414 (3)	
N1A	0.07072 (16)	0.12375 (13)	0.43269 (7)	0.0297 (3)	
H6A	0.0957	0.0487	0.4150	0.045*	
H4A	0.0430	0.1804	0.4039	0.045*	
H5A	-0.0048	0.1107	0.4586	0.045*	
N1B	0.16743 (16)	0.34925 (14)	0.73588 (7)	0.0321 (3)	
H6B	0.0914	0.4030	0.7285	0.048*	
H5B	0.2471	0.3951	0.7474	0.048*	

H4B	0.1423	0.2941	0.7657	0.048*	
C2B	0.2030 (2)	0.2758 (2)	0.67961 (10)	0.0431 (5)	
H3B	0.2964	0.2293	0.6855	0.052*	
H2B	0.2165	0.3361	0.6458	0.052*	
C1AB	0.0806 (9)	0.1794 (8)	0.6633 (4)	0.034 (2)	0.50
O21B	0.1050 (6)	0.0918 (5)	0.6278 (3)	0.0689 (16)	0.50
O1B	-0.05002 (14)	0.21073 (12)	0.68600 (6)	0.0338 (3)	
C1BB	0.0690 (9)	0.2089 (8)	0.6509 (4)	0.0335 (19)	0.50
O22B	0.0744 (6)	0.1476 (6)	0.6040 (2)	0.0689 (15)	0.50
C1A	0.26723 (18)	0.07554 (15)	0.50827 (7)	0.0274 (3)	
C2A	0.1997 (2)	0.17554 (16)	0.46640 (8)	0.0352 (4)	
H2A	0.2748	0.2048	0.4373	0.042*	
H3A	0.1682	0.2501	0.4905	0.042*	
H1B	-0.119 (3)	0.158 (3)	0.6712 (13)	0.067 (8)*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
S	0.0196 (2)	0.0202 (2)	0.0309 (2)	0.00172 (13)	0.00215 (14)	0.00150 (13)
O2A	0.0360 (7)	0.0215 (5)	0.0402 (6)	-0.0011 (5)	-0.0020 (5)	0.0008 (5)
01	0.0424 (7)	0.0317 (6)	0.0505 (8)	0.0098 (6)	0.0231 (6)	0.0052 (5)
03	0.0367 (7)	0.0295 (6)	0.0338 (6)	-0.0024 (5)	-0.0003 (5)	0.0058 (5)
O1A	0.0378 (7)	0.0370 (7)	0.0479 (8)	-0.0103 (5)	-0.0159 (6)	0.0112 (6)
O2	0.0425 (7)	0.0210 (6)	0.0437 (7)	-0.0031 (5)	0.0003 (5)	0.0053 (5)
O4	0.0243 (6)	0.0425 (7)	0.0575 (8)	0.0043 (5)	-0.0109 (6)	-0.0169 (6)
N1A	0.0306 (7)	0.0241 (6)	0.0344 (7)	0.0017 (5)	-0.0032 (6)	0.0016 (5)
N1B	0.0244 (7)	0.0291 (7)	0.0427 (8)	-0.0014 (6)	-0.0085 (6)	-0.0009 (6)
C2B	0.0225 (8)	0.0464 (11)	0.0603 (12)	-0.0021 (7)	0.0043 (8)	-0.0162 (9)
C1AB	0.033 (3)	0.034 (4)	0.035 (3)	-0.006 (2)	0.006 (2)	-0.005 (3)
O21B	0.045 (3)	0.081 (4)	0.081 (4)	-0.013 (2)	0.019 (2)	-0.052 (3)
O1B	0.0255 (6)	0.0334 (6)	0.0426 (7)	-0.0030 (5)	0.0015 (5)	-0.0071 (5)
C1BB	0.030(2)	0.030 (3)	0.040 (4)	0.000 (2)	0.006 (2)	-0.007 (3)
O22B	0.047 (3)	0.088 (4)	0.072 (3)	-0.017 (3)	0.022 (2)	-0.048 (3)
C1A	0.0276 (8)	0.0250 (7)	0.0296 (7)	-0.0003 (6)	0.0008 (6)	-0.0010 (6)
C2A	0.0399 (9)	0.0246 (8)	0.0411 (9)	-0.0057 (7)	-0.0111 (8)	0.0053 (7)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S01	1.4670 (12)	C2B—C1BB	1.515 (8)
S—O3	1.4686 (12)	C2B—C1AB	1.517 (8)
S—O4	1.4756 (13)	C1AB—O21B	1.207 (8)
S—O2	1.4761 (12)	C1AB—O1B	1.307 (8)
O2A—C1A	1.212 (2)	O1B—C1BB	1.310 (8)
O1A—C1A	1.308 (2)	C1BB—O22B	1.201 (8)
N1A—C2A	1.466 (2)	C1A—C2A	1.500 (2)
N1B—C2B	1.474 (2)		
01—S—03	110.12 (7)	O21B—C1AB—C2B	120.4 (7)

supporting information

O1—S—O4	109.52 (8)	O1B—C1AB—C2B	113.3 (6)
O3—S—O4	108.30 (8)	C1AB—O1B—C1BB	18.4 (6)
O1—S—O2	110.55 (8)	O22B—C1BB—O1B	122.4 (7)
O3—S—O2	109.28 (7)	O22B—C1BB—C2B	123.9 (7)
O4—S—O2	109.04 (8)	O1B—C1BB—C2B	113.3 (6)
N1B—C2B—C1BB	113.9 (3)	O2A—C1A—O1A	125.78 (15)
N1B—C2B—C1AB	111.9 (3)	O2A—C1A—C2A	123.36 (15)
C1BB—C2B—C1AB	15.9 (5)	O1A—C1A—C2A	110.85 (14)
O21B—C1AB—O1B	125.9 (7)	N1A—C2A—C1A	111.81 (13)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H…A	D····A	D—H···A
O1A—H1A····O1 ⁱ	0.82	1.77	2.567 (2)	164
O1B—H1 B ····O4 ⁱⁱ	0.88 (3)	1.70 (3)	2.573 (2)	172 (3)
N1A—H4A····O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89	1.92	2.762 (2)	156
N1 <i>B</i> —H4 <i>B</i> ···O2 ^{iv}	0.89	1.96	2.838 (2)	170
$N1A$ — $H5A$ ···O2 A^{v}	0.89	2.13	2.958 (2)	154
N1 <i>B</i> —H5 <i>B</i> ···O3 ^{vi}	0.89	1.96	2.851 (2)	175
$N1A$ —H6 A ···O21 B^{v}	0.89	2.48	3.018 (5)	119
$N1A$ —H6 A ···O22 B^{v}	0.89	2.56	3.177 (6)	127
N1A—H6A····O4 ^{vii}	0.89	2.03	2.805 (2)	145
N1 <i>B</i> —H6 <i>B</i> ···O3	0.89	1.93	2.813 (2)	170
C2A—H3A····O2A ^{viii}	0.97	2.51	3.264 (2)	134

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1/2, *y*-1/2, *z*; (ii) -*x*-1/2, *y*-1/2, *z*; (iii) -*x*, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (iv) -*x*, *y*-1/2, -*z*+3/2; (v) -*x*, -*y*, -*z*+1; (vi) *x*+1/2, *y*, -*z*+3/2; (vii) *x*+1/2, -*y*+1/2, -*z*+1; (vii) -*x*+1/2, *y*+1/2, *z*.